TIME FRAMES REQUIRED TO PROCESS DEPORTATION CASES
OF FEDERAL ALIEN INMATES

Institute for Court Management
Court Executive Development Program
Phase III Project
May 1999

John A. Meehan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this survey is to accurately track time frames necessary to process cases through the Immigration Court for aliens undergoing proceedings while still serving their federal sentence. It is particularly significant for the Eloy, Arizona Immigration Court, in that Eloy is one of two primary courts established to process federal criminal aliens.

The goal of the program is to complete the deportation (removal) hearing process prior to the inmate's release from federal incarceration.

To predict general time frames that will be required to process cases through the immigration trial court process; there must be accurate statistical analysis of past processing times. To achieve the level of accuracy required, every case processed through the Federal Institutional Hearing Program (IHP) must be tracked through various stages of the hearing process. The conduct of this survey records and tracks every case for designated periods of time, in three or six month increments, at different times during the year. Additionally, this evaluation identifies and eliminates anomalies that are not otherwise considered by analyses conducted at the Headquarters or Departmental levels.

This process yields two beneficial results: 1) It allows the court to plan available calendar time, based upon the number of filings and the trend of the amount of time remaining on inmate sentences. 2) It provides planners at headquarters levels with the data to project how early in the process inmates must be transferred to sites where hearings may be held. The overall benefit of this survey is to provide managers at all

levels with accurate processing time frames that will permit them to allocate resources effectively and efficiently to carry out their responsibility under the law.

Utilizing this process has enabled the Eloy Immigration Court to forecast with a high degree of certainty the amount of calendar time necessary to devote to Federal IHP hearings. It provides an accurate prediction of average case processing times at various points along the proceeding's life cycle. The current configuration of the Executive Office for Immigration Review's automation system, the Automated Nationwide System for Immigration Review (ANSIR), does not support this type of detailed analysis by managers. As our data base management system is currently being upgraded to a more open architecture, strong consideration must be given to permitting data base queries that will allow field managers to perform this type of detailed analysis. This scheme to evaluate data devoid of anomalies should be incorporated into the agency's data base management system to provide periodic reports to enhance calendar planning. It should also be used to establish base lines when consideration is being given to opening new immigration courts or to modifying the size or scope of existing courts.

INTRODUCTION

The Executive Office for Immigration Review's (EOIR) Criminal Alien Institutional Hearing Program (IHP) began in 1986 for the State of New York. The program was implemented to dispose of immigration related matters, primarily deportation hearings, while an alien was serving a sentence to confinement. Generally, crimes committed by aliens, either in the country legally or illegally render them amenable to deportation. Resolving alien's Immigration Court cases prior to their release from incarceration is extremely efficient and cost effective. The major savings result from the Government not having to spend enormous amounts of money in detention costs to house criminal aliens after they are released from their prison sentences. As a result of the Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986, this program became a national endeavor.

In 1988, a joint venture between the Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR), the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) and the Federal Bureau of Prisons (BOP) began the Federal IHP program.[1] Initially a pilot program at five Federal prison sites, it has since grown and become established as a routine part of the day-to-day operations of EOIR, INS and BOP.

As might be expected, members of Congress, Justice Department officials, state lawmakers, and numerous other people are interested in statistical data regarding costs, overall numbers of cases and time-frames expended administering the IHP program.

EOIR has historically proven to have the most accurate up-to-date statistical information on the program's performance. Data is routinely reported from EOIR's

---

[1] "Enhanced Federal Institution Hearing Program." A cooperative Effort of: Immigration and Naturalization Service, Bureau of Prisons, and Executive Office for Immigration Review. March 7, 1996, pp. 1-2.

database management system, the Automated System for Immigration Review (ANSIR). Unfortunately, ANSIR has never been able to provide accurate statistical information for calendar management at the individual court level. Accurate planning has always been impaired by extremely short or very long release dates that tend to skew data when average numbers of days are considered as the benchmark for planning allocation of resources.

EOIR must refine the method of data analysis to develop a scheme to calculate the average number of days to process a proceeding through specific stages during the life cycle of the case that eliminates the effect that very short or very long release dates have upon reported processing times.

The Eloy Immigration Court adjudicates both Federal IHP cases and INS detained cases. The vast majority of the INS detained cases are aliens who were former Federal or state inmates frequently and generically referred to as criminal aliens. The distinction between IHP cases and other criminal alien proceedings is that IHP hearings specifically refer to cases that are adjudicated while the alien is still serving a sentence to confinement. The underlying philosophy being to dispose of the immigration matter while the inmate is still serving his sentence to reduce detention costs.

There are three Immigration Judges and appropriate support staff at the Eloy court. The court is physically located inside the Eloy Detention Center, a private facility owned by Corrections Corporation of America (CCA) under contract with the Federal Bureau of Prisons. The scope of the contract is for CCA to house, secure and care for 500 Federal inmates and 1000 INS detainees. The U. S. Department of Justice maintains

staff at the facility from BOP, INS and EOIR. INS and EOIR administer the departmental programs involving the removal process for the aliens housed at Eloy.

One major issue at the Eloy court, where both inmates and detainees are housed in the same institution, is to ensure that there is always separation between detainees and inmates. Federal regulations prohibit intermingling of BOP inmates and INS detainees. Consequently, the court's dockets must be established in such a way that both populations will not be in the same court at the same time. Using a different hearing location code for each population classification does this. The Eloy court uses the code ELO for inmates and EAZ for detainees. The requirement to keep inmates and detainees separate, to complete IHP cases prior to release date, and to process as many detainee matters in as short a time as possible, involves a quasi micro management of available calendar time.

This paper proposes a method for Immigration Courts involved in adjudicating Federal IHP cases to conduct a survey of past performance that will render a more accurate evaluation of the amount of calendar time necessary to devote to IHP hearings. It also provides accurate time frames to program administrators regarding the life cycle of IHP cases. This process will also permit agencies to predict with great certainty the number of days necessary to adjudicate criminal alien hearings when planning for program expansion or modification of existing courts. Application of the results of this survey has enhanced the ability of the Eloy Immigration Court in scheduling the minimum appropriate amount of calendar time to IHP cases while maintaining the bulk of the available judge time to adjudicate detained criminal alien cases.

The mechanics of tracking the amount of time spent during different phases of the hearing process is a relatively simple and typical database reporting function. Where this

survey differs is that it uses a method to eliminate anomalies incurred when there are unusually short or extremely long release dates involved during a given amount of time that tend to skew averages. Additionally, the survey includes an inmate's arrival date at the institution where INS will process the cases for filing and the hearing will be held by EOIR. That information is not now available from ANSIR but is invaluable in providing planners with accurate data regarding the length of time needed to prepare a case for filing with the courts.

Another data element that ANSIR cannot provide is a complete release date. Historically, an alien's earliest possible release date (EPRD) was entered into the database in typical date format (i.e. 12/1/96). Inevitably, released dates extended beyond the year 2000. The ANSIR software had to be modified, as it is not Y2K compliant, to record only month and year of release date (12/1996). Calculations requiring numbers of days results became impossible at that point.

The significance of the EPRD for planners is that it allows them to gauge the amount of time prior to release that they must move inmates to the institution where cases are prepared and heard. The dates of arrival and EPRD, along with other milestone dates, must be entered and tracked either in a database or spreadsheet other than ANSIR. All other necessary dates are available through ANSIR.

An added benefit for EOIR resulting from this calculation process is identifying initial data input errors. Several of the calculations used require logical sequencing of events over time that require completion in a certain order. Use of this survey has revealed that there are dates entered into the database that are incorrect. When this happens, formulas do not calculate correctly and it becomes easy to find an input error

that would otherwise go unnoticed (i.e. alien arrived - 2/2/98, charges filed - 1/2/98, although possible, generally charges are not filed prior to an alien's arrival). These types of input errors discovered during the survey allow for easy correction in ANSIR and provide greater data integrity.

The conduct of the survey requires collection of certain database elements from different databases into either a separate database that has state-of-the-art calculation capabilities or into a spreadsheet. A spreadsheet program is preferred due to its relative simplicity in formatting, sorting and report design. The sample survey reported herein involved collection of data into a separate database from ANSIR, then reporting sorted output to a spreadsheet that calculated the average numbers of days to accomplish the various steps involved with adjudication of the Federal IHP cases at Eloy. A sample of the database input form is located at Tab 7. Those figures were then used to produce a series of charts that detail each category tracked as well as a single chart that includes overall figures from all categories on one chart (see Chart 5).

METHODOLOGY

1. The goal of the survey is to provide information to managers using a series of bar charts that depict the average number of days required to process immigration cases through the various stages of case preparation and hearings (see Tab 5). Since many of the cases extend across different calendar months the information is shown as cases completed at the Immigration Judge decision level during a given month. The charts show the overall or raw average number of days as well as a "mid 90%" number of days; the percentage used to more accurately forecast the amount of time needed for each phase of the case processing. The 90% figure was chosen for this survey, however, any percentage could be used for a given survey. The reference to "mid 90%" means eliminating from that calculation the best 5% and the worst 5% of processing times for each category.

2. A database was established and refined to include the minimal information necessary to produce charts that satisfied the needs of the program participants.[1] The fields ultimately used that pertain to the IHP survey were as follows:

"A" Number - the alien's registration number assigned by INS (similar to a Social Security Number).

Inmate Registration No. - the alien's inmate registration number assigned by BOP.

Arrived at Eloy - the date the alien arrived at Eloy.

Release Date - the alien's earliest possible release date from BOP custody.

Charges Dated - the date of the charging document filed by INS with the Immigration Court.

Charges Filed - the date INS filed the charges with the court.

---

[1] The database also includes information about detainees at Eloy, administrative information and data validation fields. This paper will only address those issues involving inmates.

Initial Master Calendar - the date of the alien's initial appearance before a judge.

IJ Decision Date - the date of the ultimate case decision at the Immigration Judge level (the survey does not include appeal information).

Number of Bond Hearings - the number of bond hearings conducted. Although ineligible for bond while incarcerated, occasionally release dates are recalculated after charges are filed or incorrect release dates are reported to EOIR when charges are filed. As a result a case may not be completed before release. This usually requires that bond hearings be held.

Alien Represented - a field to indicate whether or not the alien was represented by an attorney (aliens in proceedings before Immigration Court do not have public defenders or court appointed attorneys, although they do have the right to representation at their own expense).

Remarks - self-explanatory.

3. After the fields for the necessary information were established in a database, reports were designed that compiled output directly to a spreadsheet that had to be designed with the appropriate columns that matched the automated output of the database (see Tab 11).

4. The spreadsheet also includes columns, as well as cells in other areas of the spreadsheet, that perform the necessary calculations to retrieve the information required for the charts. In addition to columns that contain the information described at paragraph 2 above, the following columns are used to perform specific calculations:

A # Sort - this is a single heading for the three columns that contain the alien registration number and are necessary to sort the data correctly.[2]

---

[2] "A" Numbers are eight digit identifiers expressed as follows: 12-345-678. The order of chronological sequence for listing and/or filing cases by "A" number order uses the last group of three digits first. If there is more than one alien with the same last three digits then the first two are used (e.g. 23-233-333, 75-233-333). If the first two also match then the middle three are used (e.g. 23-233-333, 75-233-333, 75-235-333).

 # Days Arr to Rel - this column calculates the number of days from the alien's arrival until his release to INS custody.

 # Days Arr to Chrg Dt - this column calculates the number of days from the alien's arrival until the charges are preferred by INS. Most aliens arrive at IHP sites before INS has the opportunity to complete investigations and prepare charges. Additionally, it is preferable to affect personal service of charges instead of using certified mail.

 # Days Chrg Dt to Fil - this column calculates the number of days from the date of the charges until they are filed with EOIR. This information is useful to management as it memorializes the time spent reviewing cases before they are filed.

 # Days Arr to Fil - this column calculates the number of days from the alien's arrival until the charges are filed.

 # Days fil to Rel - this column calculates the number of days from the date charges are filed until the alien's release date.

 # Days Fil to 1st MC - this column calculates the number of days from the filing of charges until the alien's 1st master calendar or hearing.

 # Days 1st MC to Dec - this column calculates the number of days from the alien's 1st master calendar hearing until the Immigration Judge's decision in the case.

 # Days Fil to Dec - this column calculates the number of days from the filing of charges until the Judge's decision in the case.

 # Days Arr to Dec - this column calculates the number days from the alien's arrival until the Judge's decision in the case.

 # Days Dec to Rel - this column calculates the number of days from the Judge's decision in the case until the alien's release date.

5. Once the database and spreadsheet templates were established data collection could begin. The ANSIR system has a very comprehensive reporting feature called "Writer" that can provide a detailed report that includes most of the information necessary

for the survey. Two data elements that must be retrieved from another source are the alien's arrival date and a complete EPRD. Generally this information is most easily accessible from the BOP automated inmate tracking system called SENTRY. Until further ANSIR development is achieved, there is currently no way to directly retrieve data elements electronically as input for the database or spreadsheet and SENTRY is a proprietary automation system of BOP. Consequently, all data entry into the database for the survey must be done manually.

6. Manually entering the data into the database, while time consuming, is a relatively simple keystroke process. During this phase of the survey, original data input errors into ANSIR may be discovered. This is accomplished by including validation fields in the database that automatically compare data fields. If certain fields contain dates that are the same or do not follow a chronological order, the validation field returns a series of question marks.[3] These fields also help ensure that manual entry of data into the database is accurate and eliminates the need to spend a lot of time checking the accuracy of the manual input. The same ANSIR reports are then used to record the inmate's arrival and release dates from SENTRY. The information is written on the report after looking up each inmate individually and the dates are then entered into the database.

7. The next step is to use the database reporting utility to transfer the elements of data needed to calculate the averages used in charts to the spreadsheet. The information transferred to the spreadsheet is then used to automatically calculate the average number of days for each step of the case's life cycle through the IHP process. Up to this point in

---

[3] It is possible for dates such as an arrival date and the date of the charges or the date of the charges and the date the charges are filed to be the same. It is however, unlikely and requires confirmation from the paper documents contained in the Court's file.

the survey averages of given data fields are easily obtained from the database. Where the spreadsheet is essential, is to manipulate the data to accurately calculate the mid 90% (or whatever percent desired) average. This is accomplished in part by the columns listed in paragraph 4 above and in part by a series of cells containing complex formulas in another portion of the spreadsheet. Examples of the portions of the spreadsheet used to perform the calculations are at Tab 9.

8. To calculate the mid 90% average of a given category the column of the spreadsheet containing the data must be sorted in an ascending order to facilitate elimination of the best and worst 5%. Each category used to calculate averages for a chart must be sorted individually. This survey requires ten different sorting tasks to calculate the mid 90% figures needed and another sort to rearrange the records in "A" Number order for printing the backup lists to support the data presented by the charts (see Tab 11). Once sorted the appropriate number of cases that equals the best and worst 5% are excluded from the calculation of the average. For example: 200 cases are decided, sort a column, exclude the top 10 and bottom 10 cases, average the 180 remaining cases. Frequently the 10% figure is not a whole number or does not equal whole numbers when divided by 2. If the excluded 10% figure is not a whole number it is rounded to the nearest whole number. If that number is odd it is divided by 2 and reduced to whole numbers totaling the 10% figure (i.e. $13 \div 2 = 6.5$ *reduced to* 6 & 7). The smaller of the two numbers is used at the top (best 5%) of the column and the larger number at the bottom. This procedure is followed for each of the ten data sorts required.

9. After each sort an average is returned at a cell in the area of the spreadsheet where the complex calculations are accomplished. This portion of the spreadsheet is

referred to by a range name[4] of "sorts" and is adjacent to the "summary" portion of the

spreadsheet. The summaries are also printed and are the source of the figures used to

produce the corresponding charts. The summary pages used for this survey are located at

Tab 10.

---

[4] A range is a group of spreadsheet cells designated as a discreet group and given a name. The
information contained in the range may be used in formulas for calculations.

FINDINGS

1. One challenge that confronts any research based on statistical analysis is for the results to withstand in-depth scrutiny. This is especially true when the report results effect other Government agencies or their programs. In order for this survey to have credibility, it had to be able to withstand detailed examination. For that reason Alien Registration Numbers and Inmate Registration Numbers were included, and virtually every case decided at the Immigration Judge level during the survey period was recorded in the survey. Should there be a question by any management official regarding the authenticity of the results, the printout of the spreadsheet is available for comparison by interested parties to their own official records or the court files.

2. The purpose of developing and administering this survey was to provide concrete statistical data to help field office level managers plan and execute their day-to-day missions with accurate and timely information. This information is also extremely valuable to headquarters planners when they consider allocation of resources.

3. Overall, the IHP program at Eloy seems to be working smoothly with no particular areas of concern for EOIR evidenced by the survey. A review of Chart 7 shows a trend of reduced processing time for EOIR, to a very reasonable period of less than 60 days. This is due in part to using the survey to identify needed adjustments to daily hearing agendas, as they are needed, before backlogs happen. The one area revealed during the period that may cause some concern for INS officials is the average length of time (3 months) for charges to be filed once an alien arrives (see Chart 4).

4. One very interesting benefit derived from the survey as it was being developed, was to be able to quickly modify the spreadsheet to track useful statistical information that

was not considered during the early design. INS historically seems to develop a friction

between their operations sections, Investigations and Deportation, and their Litigation

section. Each pointing the finger at the other as the cause of slow or delayed case

processing. Merely adding two columns to the spreadsheet with the appropriate formulas

to consider information already contained in the survey enabled the ability to produce

Charts 9 and 10. These two charts report only those cases where the charges are dated

after the alien arrives at Eloy. Generally, if the charges are dated after the alien arrives, it

means that local Investigations and Deportation sections have developed the case from the

start. Once operations officers are finished with the cases, they must be reviewed by

Litigation for legal sufficiency prior to filing the case with EOIR. If there are perceived

delays in case processing, each blames the other as the cause. Utilizing Charts 9 and 10

allows each participant to examine the time spent with case files and adjust accordingly if

necessary. The information depicted by the two charts is not particularly important to

EOIR, but it has been very helpful to INS. Chart 9 represents the amount of time the

Investigations section deals with a case and the days shown at Chart 10 can be attributed

to Litigation.

 5. Chart 11 places the life cycle of the cases on one page, from the alien's arrival

through his case decision by an Immigration Judge.

Chart #1 - *Alien's Arrival Until Release to INS Custody* - aliens arrive on the average
with about 20 months remaining on their sentence to confinement.

Chart #2 - *Filing of Charges Until Release to INS Custody* - generally, there is ample
time to complete the cases before release date. There have been cases filed
with very little time remaining on the inmate's sentence. When this occurs

the cases are assigned to a detained docket where the first hearing is scheduled after the alien's release date instead of on the IHP calendar.

Chart #3 - *IJ Decision Until Release to INS Custody* - the number of days remaining on the alien's sentence.

Chart #4 - *Alien's Arrival Until Charges Filed With EOIR* – the amount of time spent by INS in preparation for filing the case charging documents with EOIR.

Chart #5 - *Filing of Charges to Initial Master Calendar* – self-explanatory.

Chart #6 - *Initial Master Calendar to IJ Decision* – self-explanatory.

Chart #7 - *Filing of Charges to IJ Decision* – self-explanatory.

Chart #8 - *Alien's Arrival to IJ Decision* – self-explanatory.

Chart #9 - *Arrival Until Charges Dated (Charges Dated After Arrival)* – this chart shows only those cases where the date the alien was charged occurred after his arrival to Eloy.

Chart #10 - *Charges Dated Until Filed (Charges Dated After Arrival)* – this is a natural follow-on to the previous chart.

Chart #11 - *BOP Case Decisions Jul - Sep '97* – this chart is a compendium of some of the other charts. It lists the averages from charts 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 3 respectively.

Alien's Arrival Until Release to INS Custody

Average # of Days

	Jul 97	Aug 97	Sep 97	Avg
BOP All	626	665	692	661
BOP Mid 90%	553	624	670	616

■BOP All ⊞BOP Mid 90%

Chart 1

Filing of Charges Until Release to INS Custody

Chart 2

IJ Decison Until Release to INS Custody

Chart 3

# Alien's Arrival Until Charges Filed With EOIR

Chart showing "Average # of Days" bar chart with categories Jul 97, Aug 97, Sep 97, and Avg.

- Jul 97: BOP All 75, BOP Mid 90% 72
- Aug 97: BOP All 86, BOP Mid 90% 81
- Sep 97: BOP All 142, BOP Mid 90% 116
- Avg: BOP All 101, BOP Mid 90% 90

Legend: BOP All, BOP Mid 90%

Chart 4

Filing of Charges to Initial Master Calendar

Chart 5

Initial Master Calendar to IJ Decision

Bar chart titled "Initial Master Calendar to IJ Decision" showing Average # of Days on the y-axis (0 to 60) across categories Jul 97, Aug 97, Sep 97, and Avg. Legend: BOP All, BOP Mid 90%.

- Jul 97: BOP All = 52, BOP Mid 90% = 44
- Aug 97: BOP All = 43, BOP Mid 90% = 29
- Sep 97: BOP All = 23, BOP Mid 90% = 16
- Avg: BOP All = 39, BOP Mid 90% = 30

Chart 6

Filing of Charges to IJ Decision

Chart 7

Alien's Arrival to IJ Decision

Average # of Days

	Jul 97	Aug 97	Sep 97	Avg
BOP All	140	152	185	159
BOP Mid 90%	133	142	157	144

BOP All BOP Mid 90%

Chart 8

Arrival Until Charges Dated (Charges Dated After Arrival)

Chart 9

Charges Dated Until Filed (Charges Dated After Arrival)

Average # of Days

	Jul 97	Aug 97	Sep 97	Avg
BOP All	11	10	41	21
BOP Mid 90%	9	9	37	18

Legend: ▨ BOP All ▨ BOP Mid 90%

Chart 10

BOP Case Decisions Jul - Sep '97

Chart 11

CONCLUSIONS

1. EOIR's process for disposing of IHP cases at Eloy operates efficiently and has been greatly enhanced by applying results of this survey to allocate calendar time to the program.

2. Survey findings have proven to be helpful to INS officials for their planning and identifying areas where there may be a need for increased emphasis.

3. Statistical information available from current agency automation systems reports only includes overall raw data. Very short or very long inmate release dates skew averages to the degree that for the most part; the information is not very useful locally.

4. Utilizing this survey to eliminate the anomalies of very short or very long release dates from averages, as well as the effects on averages of those cases that go very quickly or those that never seem to go away, provides a more accurate time frame to use for planning resource allocation.

5. This survey is the only process currently available that provides such a detailed examination of statistical data that includes information useful to more than one agency at the field office and headquarters levels. Reports and information currently available from INS, EOIR, and BOP automation systems are incapable of capturing this data.

6. As EOIR currently moves through a complete upgrade of the ANSIR automation system, strong consideration should be given to development of data base reports that will permit managers at field office levels to extract the information necessary for enhancement of their local operations. This should be relatively simple and could easily be linked to data retrieval from INS or BOP automation systems that contain information that is not available through EOIR's ANSIR system. An alternative would be

to modify the current ANSIR database to include the data elements necessary for this type of in-depth analysis.

7. Based on the limitations of the current automation systems in use by the different Government agencies, there is no viable way to capture the data required for this survey through automated report generation. If a similar type of data collection were to be initiated in the future, it would be beneficial to advise the agency's information systems staffs of the necessity for the required information. That would allow them to consider the requirements when they plan for automation system modification or development.

This is the form view of the database where information is initially stored for completion of the Survey. Data may be entered utilizing this form or it may be entered in a list view. Entering data in the list view does not give the transcriber the advantage of the labels associated with each field.

"A" Number (for sorting) => 74 123 011   **Inmate Registration No. =>** 51502-004

Arrived at Eloy ===> 7/3/97   **Release Date =>** 11/29/99

Charges Dated => 9/9/97   **Charges Filed =>** 9/18/97

Initial Master Calendar => 9/25/97   **IJ Decision Date =>** 9/25/97

Hearing Location => ELO   **Number of MC Hearings =>** 1   **IJ =>** SHK

Number of Bond Hearings => ☐   **Alien Represented =>** ☐

Remarks =>

Quick Deport => ☐

Field19: ok   Field20: ok

Range Names used throughout the spreadsheet that performs the calculations for the Survey.

	Range Names	Cells included in Range	
1	A#sort	A7:C63	These range names identify columns for counting and to sort the spreadsheet by "A" Number.
2	atty	L7:L63	
3	bonds	K7:K63	
4	cases	D7:D63	
5	col_S	U7:U63	These range names identify and refer to the group of cells that comprise the entire column. An average of the range name calculates the raw or overall average for a category.
6	colO	Q7:Q63	
7	colP	R7:R63	
8	colQ	S7:S63	
9	colR	T7:T63	
10	colT	V7:V63	
11	colU	W7:W63	
12	colV	X7:X63	
13	colW	Y7:Y63	
14	colX	Z7:Z63	
15	topO	Q7:Q9	This group of range names identify those cells that comprise the top or best 5% of a column.
16	topP	R15:R16	
17	topQ	S15:S16	
18	topR	T7:T9	
19	topS	U7:U9	
20	topT	V7:V9	
21	topU	W7:W9	
22	topV	X7:X9	
23	topW	Y7:Y9	
24	topX	Z7:Z9	
25	botO	Q61:Q63	This group of range names identify those cells that comprise the bottom or worst 5% of a column.
26	botP	R61:R63	
27	botQ	S61:S63	
28	botR	T61:T63	
29	botS	U61:U63	
30	botT	V61:V63	
31	botU	W61:W63	
32	botV	X61:X63	
33	botW	Y61:Y63	
34	botX	Z61:Z63	
35	calculations	AC200:AO244	These range names refer to the areas where calculations are done and areas to set for printing.
36	sorts	AF190:AO194	
37	Print Area	A1:Z63	
38	print_all	A1:Z63	
39	print_calcs	AC200:AO240	
40	Pcalc	R15:R63	These ranges are used to perform specialized calculations.
41	Qcalc	S15:S63	
42	Scalc	U7:U12	
43	Xcalc	Z7:Z11	

Formulas used to calculate the numbers to be used for the Mid 90% figure

(Located adjacent to the summary portion of the spreadsheet and named "sorts")

Label	Value
Total number of cases:	=COUNT(cases)
10% of total cases:	=AE190/10
# cases for Col P calculation (Pcalc):	=COUNT(Pcalc)
10% of Pcalc:	=AE194/10
Start topP at line:	=COUNT(colP)-COUNT(Pcalc)+7
Last row of data:	=AE190+6

Col O	Col P	Col Q	Col R
Calcs	Calcs	Calcs	Calcs
=SUM(topO)+SUM(botO)	=SUM(topP)+SUM(botP)	=SUM(topQ)+SUM(botQ)	=SUM(topR)+SUM(botR)
=(SUM(colO)-AF192)/(COUNT(colO)-(ROUND(COUNT(colO),-1)/10))	=(SUM(Pcalc)-AG192)/(COUNT(Pcalc)-(ROUND(COUNT(Pcalc),-1)/10))	=(SUM(Qcalc)-AH192)/(COUNT(Qcalc)-(ROUND(COUNT(Qcalc),-1)/10))	=(SUM(colR)-AI192)/(COUNT(colR)-(ROUND(COUNT(colR),-1)/10))
Manually enter number from above cell	*Manually enter number from above cell*	*Manually enter number from above cell*	*Manually enter number from above cell*
=AVG(Pcalc)	=AVG(Qcalc)		
Manually enter number from above cell	*Manually enter number from above cell*		

Col S	Col T	Col U
Calcs	Calcs	Calcs
=SUM(topS)+SUM(botS)	=SUM(topT)+SUM(botT)	=SUM(topU)+SUM(botU)
=(SUM(col_S)-AJ192)/(COUNT(col_S)-(ROUND(COUNT(col_S),-1)/10))	=(SUM(colT)-AK192)/(COUNT(colT)-(ROUND(COUNT(colT),-1)/10))	=(SUM(colU)-AL192)/(COUNT(colU)-(ROUND(COUNT(colU),-1)/10))
Manually enter number from above cell	*Manually enter number from above cell*	*Manually enter number from above cell*
=AVG(Scalc)		
Manually enter number from above cell		

Col V	Col W	Col X
Calcs	Calcs	Calcs
=SUM(topV)+SUM(botV)	=SUM(topW)+SUM(botW)	=SUM(topX)+SUM(botX)
=(SUM(colV)-AM192)/(COUNT(colV)-(ROUND(COUNT(colV),-1)/10))	=(SUM(colW)-AN192)/(COUNT(colW)-(ROUND(COUNT(colW),-1)/10))	=(SUM(colX)-AO192)/(COUNT(colX)-(ROUND(COUNT(colX),-1)/10))
Manually enter number from above cell	*Manually enter number from above cell*	*Manually enter number from above cell*
		=AVG(Xcalc)
		Manually enter number from above cell

These cells total the best and worst 5% for a given column.

Cells with this label require manual entry of data after the corresponding column is sorted because the calculation at the cell above changes with each sort.

Cells with this formula calculate the average of the Mid 90% for their respective column. The reference to XX192 is the cell immediately above.

Formulas used in the Summary portion of the spreadsheet

	Total		Number of aliens represented
Total number of cases completed at ELO during Sep 97 =======>	=COUNT(cases)	Total number of bond hearings conducted on cases decided in Sep ===========> =SUM(bonds)	by attorneys ===========> =COUNT(atty)

Number of IJ days available ===>	7.5	Average number of cases decided per day: =AF203/AF205

These cells retrieve data from the adjacent area of the spreadsheet that performs complex calculations.

These cells perform calculations primarily using data contained in "ranges" located throughout the spreadsheet. The range names are listed at *Tab 8*.

AVERAGE NUMBERS OF DAYS:		RAW	MID 90%
From aliens arrival until release to INS custody (O) ==========>		=AVG(colO)	=AF194
From aliens arrival until charges filed with EOIR (R) ==========>		=AVG(colR)	=AI194
From filing of charges with EOIR to alien's release to INS custody (S)>		=AVG(col_S)	=AJ194
From filing of charges to initial master calendar hearing (T) =======>		=AVG(colT)	=AK194
From initial master calendar to IJ's case decision (U) ==========>		=AVG(colU)	=AL194
From filing of charges to IJ's case decision (V) ===============>		=AVG(colV)	=AM194
From alien's arrival to IJ's case decision (W) ==============>		=AVG(colW)	=AN194
From IJ's decision until alien's release to INS custody (X) =========>		=AVG(colX)	=AO194

	Total	Percent	
Average number of days to release:			=AJ196
Cases filed w/in 30 days of alien's release date:	=COUNT(Scalc)	=COUNT(Scalc)/COUNT(cases)	
Average number of days past release:			=AO196
Cases decided after alien's release date:	=COUNT(Xcalc)	=COUNT(Xcalc)/COUNT(cases)	

The following statistics pertain only to cases where the charges were dated after the alien's arrival.
Shows the number, percent and numbers of days when the original paperwork is completed locally.

	Raw # of days (avg)	Mid 90% (avg)	Number of cases	Percent of Total
From arrival until charges were dated (P) ==============>	=AG196	=AG194		
From date of charges until filing with EOIR (Q) ===========>	=AH196	=AH194	=COUNT(Qcalc)	=COUNT(Pcalc)/COUNT(cases)

```
*********************************************************************************
*********************************************************************************
```

Total number of cases completed at ELO during Jul 97 ======>	53	Total number of bond hearings conducted on cases decided in Jul ==========>	2	Number of aliens represented by attorneys ============>	5

Number of IJ days available ===> **5.5**   Average number of cases decided per day: **9.6**

AVERAGE NUMBERS OF DAYS:

	RAW		MID 90%
From aliens arrival until release to INS custody (O) ===========>	626	--------	553
From aliens arrival until charges filed with EOIR (R) ===========>	75	--------	72
From filing of charges with EOIR to alien's release to INS custody (S)>	551	--------	480
From filing of charges to initial master calendar hearing (T) ======>	14	--------	13
From initial master calendar to IJ's case decision (U) ===========>	52	--------	44
From filing of charges to IJ's case decision (V) ==============>	66	--------	59
From alien's arrival to IJ's case decision (W) ===============>	140	--------	133
From IJ's decision until alien's release to INS custody (X) =======>	485	--------	411

	Total	Percent		
Cases filed w/in 30 days of alien's release date:	6	11%	Average number of days to release:	15
Cases decided after alien's release date:	3	6%	Average number of days past release:	23

The following statistics pertain only to cases where the charges were dated after the alien's arrival.
Shows the number, percent and numbers of days when the original paperwork is completed locally.

	Raw # of days (avg)		Mid 90% (avg)		Number of cases		Percent of Total
From arrival until charges were dated (P) ==================>	67	--------	66				
From date of charges until filing with EOIR (Q) ==============>	11	--------	9	--------	49	--------	92%

Total number of cases completed at ELO during Aug 97 =======>	67	
Total number of bond hearings conducted on cases decided in Aug ==========>	0	
Number of aliens represented by attorneys ============>	3	
Number of IJ days available ===>	6.5	
Average number of cases decided per day:	10.3	

AVERAGE NUMBERS OF DAYS:

	RAW	MID 90%
From aliens arrival until release to INS custody (O) ==========>	665	624
From aliens arrival until charges filed with EOIR (R) =============>	86	81
From filing of charges with EOIR to alien's release to INS custody (S)>	578	536
From filing of charges to initial master calendar hearing (T) =========>	23	22
From initial master calendar to IJ's case decision (U) ============>	43	29
From filing of charges to IJ's case decision (V) ===============>	66	54
From alien's arrival to IJ's case decision (W) ================>	152	142
From IJ's decision until alien's release to INS custody (X) ==========>	512	470

	Total	Percent		
Cases filed w/in 30 days of alien's release date:	6	9%	Average number of days to release:	14
Cases decided after alien's release date:	2	3%	Average number of days past release:	37

The following statistics pertain only to cases where the charges were dated after the alien's arrival.
Shows the number, percent and numbers of days when the original paperwork is completed locally.

	Raw # of days (avg)	Mid 90% (avg)	Number of cases	Percent of Total
From arrival until charges were dated (P) ==================>	77	71		
From date of charges until filing with EOIR (Q) ==============>	10	9	65	97%

****

Total number of cases completed
at ELO during Sep 97 ========> 57

Total number of bond hearings conducted
on cases decided in Sep =========> 0

Number of aliens represented
by attorneys =============> 9

Number of IJ days available ===> 7.5

Average number of cases decided per day: 7.6

AVERAGE NUMBERS OF DAYS:

	RAW		MID 90%
From aliens arrival until release to INS custody (O) =========>	692	----	670
From aliens arrival until charges filed with EOIR (R) =========>	142	----	116
From filing of charges with EOIR to alien's release to INS custody (S)>	550	----	533
From filing of charges to initial master calendar hearing (T) =========>	20	----	18
From initial master calendar to IJ's case decision (U) ==========>	23	----	16
From filing of charges to IJ's case decision (V) ============>	42	----	34
From alien's arrival to IJ's case decision (W) =============>	185	----	157
From IJ's decision until alien's release to INS custody (X) ===========>	508	----	489

	Total	Percent	
Cases filed w/in 30 days of alien's release date:	6	11%	Average number of days to release: 203
Cases decided after alien's release date:	5	9%	Average number of days past release: 280

The following statistics pertain only to cases where the charges were dated after the alien's arrival.
Shows the number, percent and numbers of days when the original paperwork is completed locally.

	Raw # of days (avg)		Mid 90% (avg)	Number of cases	Percent of Total
From arrival until charges were dated (P) =============>	77	----	72		
From date of charges until filing with EOIR (Q) ============>	41	----	37	49 ------	86%

FEDERAL CRIMINAL ALIEN HEARING PROGRAM SURVEY
IMMIGRATION COURT, ELOY, JULY 1997

-A- -B- -C- A Number	-D- Inmate Reg #	-E- Arrived	-F- Release Date	-G- Charges Dated	-H- Charges Filed	-I- Initial MC	-J- Decision Date	# Bond Hear	Rep by Atty	Remarks:
76 258 003	38054-008	5/7/97	11/27/98	6/16/97	6/17/97	7/3/97	7/3/97			
76 258 005	61130-065	2/12/97	9/24/98	5/27/97	6/6/97	7/3/97	7/3/97			
14 723 006	22129-086	1/29/97	9/18/97	3/21/97	3/31/97	4/14/97	7/3/97			
76 258 007	09035-085	4/1/97	3/2/99	6/10/97	6/16/97	7/3/97	7/3/97			
76 258 011	61616-065	5/7/97	3/30/01	6/17/97	6/25/97	7/31/97	7/31/97			
76 258 012	37861-008	5/7/97	4/28/98	6/17/97	6/25/97	7/31/97	7/31/97			
74 080 042	52120-004	4/4/97	12/27/00	6/17/97	6/25/97	7/31/97	7/31/97			
92 620 042	57247-097	3/26/97	3/29/01	6/16/97	6/17/97	7/3/97	7/3/97			
40 022 063	91455-011	11/20/96	6/4/97	12/11/96	12/23/96	1/6/97	7/7/97	1	X	COV TO SFR, 3 I/C
38 833 088	48336-198	3/7/97	1/9/98	5/2/97	5/13/97	5/15/97	7/3/97			
92 270 111	76812-098	3/7/97	5/26/00	5/28/97	6/11/97	7/17/97	7/17/97			
74 251 129	43846-004	4/4/97	2/25/00	6/6/97	6/17/97	7/3/97	7/30/97			TERMINATED
74 321 131	04182-196	4/24/97	3/6/98	6/9/97	6/13/97	7/3/97	7/3/97			
91 069 131	21005-039	2/26/97	6/24/97	6/16/97	6/17/97	7/1/97	7/22/97			
72 997 167	10513-198	8/14/96	12/1/97	9/23/96	10/11/96	10/17/96	7/24/97	1		TERMINATED, 4 I/C
73 785 175	40692-004	4/1/97	6/22/99	6/25/97	6/26/97	7/31/97	7/31/97			
92 426 197	47999-198	4/11/97	9/8/00	5/28/97	6/2/97	6/5/97	7/3/97			
74 416 202	68207-198	5/7/97	7/11/97	6/9/97	6/13/97	7/3/97	7/3/97			
41 324 239	67809-198	1/15/97	9/13/97	5/6/96	2/20/97	2/27/97	7/17/97			COV FROM ELC
74 392 250	67942-198	3/13/97	4/1/98	6/6/97	6/13/97	7/3/97	7/3/97			
91 682 267	38042-008	4/24/97	8/4/97	6/25/97	6/26/97	7/3/97	7/3/97			
92 882 275	47684-198	11/20/96	1/3/01	3/7/97	3/13/97	3/17/97	7/31/97		X	
74 416 303	68283-198	5/7/97	8/4/97	7/7/97	7/15/97	7/17/97	7/17/97			
72 846 329	40649-004	4/4/97	1/17/05	7/8/97	7/16/97	7/17/97	7/17/97			
92 764 361	07264-112	1/29/97	3/6/01	4/22/97	5/7/97	5/15/97	7/17/97			
41 388 382	68044-198	3/21/97	7/20/97	6/11/97	6/17/97	7/3/97	7/3/97			
92 282 394	67916-198	4/11/97	7/22/97	5/28/97	6/6/97	6/19/97	7/10/97			
17 534 421	51731-004	4/4/97	4/26/99	6/16/97	6/17/97	7/3/97	7/3/97			
38 677 442	51614-004	1/14/97	1/21/99	5/1/97	5/13/97	5/15/97	7/3/97			
71 914 470	68128-198	4/23/97	7/9/98	5/28/97	6/19/97	7/3/97	7/3/97			
29 398 474	21399-034	12/3/96	7/1/98	4/22/97	6/6/97	7/17/97	7/17/97			
74 607 515	05086-030	1/14/97	11/21/02	4/22/97	5/7/97	5/15/97	7/17/97			
93 188 527	48044-198	4/11/97	11/29/99	5/15/97	5/23/97	5/29/97	7/24/97			

	--O-- # Days Arr to Rel =F-E	--P-- # Days Arr to Chrg Dt =G-E	--Q-- # Days Chrg Dt to Fil =H-G	--R-- # Days Arr to Fil =H-E	--S-- # Days Fil to Rel =F-H	--T-- # Days Fil to 1st MC =I-H	--U-- # Days 1st MC to Dec =J-I	--V-- # Days Fil to Dec =J-H	--W-- # Days Arr to Dec =J-E	--X-- # Days Dec to Rel =F-J
003	569	40	1	41	528	16	0	16	57	512
005	589	104	10	114	475	27	0	27	141	448
006	232	51	10	61	171	14	80	94	155	77
007	700	70	6	76	624	17	0	17	93	607
011	1423	41	8	49	1374	36	0	36	85	1338
012	356	41	8	49	307	36	0	36	85	271
042	1363	74	8	82	1281	36	0	36	118	1245
042	1464	82	1	83	1381	16	0	16	99	1365
063	196	21	12	33	163	14	182	196	229	-33
088	308	56	11	67	241	2	49	51	118	190
111	1176	82	14	96	1080	36	0	36	132	1044
129	1057	63	11	74	983	16	27	43	117	940
131	316	46	4	50	266	20	0	20	70	246
131	118	110	1	111	7	14	21	35	146	-28
167	474	40	18	58	416	6	280	286	344	130
175	812	85	1	86	726	35	0	35	121	691
197	1246	47	5	52	1194	3	28	31	83	1163
202	65	33	4	37	28	20	0	20	57	8
239	241	-254	290	36	205	7	140	147	183	58
250	384	85	7	92	292	20	0	20	112	272
267	102	62	1	63	39	7	0	7	70	32
275	1505	107	6	113	1392	4	136	140	253	1252
303	89	61	8	69	20	2	0	2	71	18
329	2845	95	8	103	2742	1	0	1	104	2741
361	1497	83	15	98	1399	8	63	71	169	1328
382	121	82	6	88	33	16	0	16	104	17
394	102	47	9	56	46	13	21	34	90	12
421	752	73	1	74	678	16	0	16	90	662
442	737	107	12	119	618	2	49	51	170	567
470	442	35	22	57	385	14	0	14	71	371
474	575	140	45	185	390	41	0	41	226	349
515	2137	98	15	113	2024	8	63	71	184	1953
527	962	34	8	42	920	6	56	62	104	858

FEDERAL CRIMINAL ALIEN HEARING PROGRAM SURVEY
IMMIGRATION COURT, ELOY, JULY 1997

-A- -B- -C- A Number	-D- Inmate Reg #	-E- Arrived	-F- Release Date	-G- Charges Dated	-H- Charges Filed	-I- Initial MC	-J- Decision Date	# Bond Hear	Rep by Atty	Remarks:
74 169 549	31056-004	5/20/97	7/27/97	6/30/97	7/15/97	7/17/97	7/17/97			
92 715 565	67594-079	12/3/96	10/24/97	3/15/95	1/21/97	1/30/97	7/10/97			2 I/C
91 153 576	65370-198	2/26/97	3/3/99	3/7/94	3/31/97	4/3/97	7/3/97			
17 367 589	07395-040	1/17/97	4/19/98	3/11/97	3/27/97	3/31/97	7/31/97			
90 854 628	68080-198	3/21/97	7/28/97	6/17/97	6/25/97	7/3/97	7/3/97			
71 552 647	51789-004	2/11/97	4/1/99	5/28/97	6/6/97	7/17/97	7/17/97			
91 775 650	00031-111	5/6/97	8/2/97	7/18/97	7/22/97	7/31/97	7/31/97			
30 248 752	68325-079	9/17/96	12/28/97	1/3/97	1/15/97	1/23/97	7/24/97		X	4 I/C
31 367 774	48011-198	1/15/97	4/18/98	1/17/97	2/19/97	2/20/97	7/24/97			
34 231 817	92122-071	3/13/97	4/14/00	2/11/97	3/27/97	3/31/97	7/31/97		X	
70 609 837	04274-112	11/6/96	6/17/98	1/29/97	2/5/97	2/13/97	7/24/97			
74 363 869	68115-198	4/23/97	8/16/97	5/28/97	6/6/97	7/3/97	7/3/97			
93 047 883	03664-196	3/7/97	1/11/98	6/25/97	6/26/97	7/31/97	7/31/97			
26 768 928	73296-098	4/23/97	7/6/98	5/28/97	6/6/97	6/19/97	7/10/97			
90 903 943	64909-079	1/17/97	6/15/98	2/12/97	2/19/97	2/20/97	7/15/97		X	2 I/C, RELIEF
76 257 954	16741-050	1/17/97	6/24/97	6/2/97	6/11/97	7/1/97	7/1/97			
11 665 961	41736-008	9/10/96	2/12/98	11/18/96	1/29/97	2/13/97	7/17/97			2 I/C, TERMINATED
91 500 963	47352-198	2/14/97	10/3/97	5/1/97	5/13/97	5/15/97	7/3/97			
76 257 968	39600-198	3/7/97	11/9/97	3/27/97	3/31/97	4/3/97	7/24/97			
76 257 995	11781-069	4/4/97	6/26/98	5/13/97	5/21/97	5/29/97	7/31/97			

	-- O -- # Days Arr to Rel =F-E	-- P -- # Days Arr to Chrg Dt =G-E	-- Q -- # Days Chrg Dt to Fil =H-G	-- R -- # Days Arr to Fil =H-E	-- S -- # Days Fil to Rel =F-H	-- T -- # Days Fil to 1st MC =I-H	-- U -- # Days 1st MC to Dec =J-I	-- V -- # Days Fil to Dec =J-H	-- W -- # Days Arr to Dec =J-E	-- X -- # Days Dec to Rel =F-J
549	68	41	15	56	12	2	0	2	58	10
565	325	-629	678	49	276	9	161	170	219	106
576	735	-1087	1120	33	702	3	91	94	127	608
589	457	53	16	69	388	4	122	126	195	262
628	129	88	8	96	33	8	0	8	104	25
647	779	106	9	115	664	41	0	41	156	623
650	88	73	4	77	11	9	0	9	86	2
752	467	108	12	120	347	8	182	190	310	157
774	458	2	33	35	423	1	154	155	190	268
817	1128	-30	44	14	1114	4	122	126	140	988
837	588	84	7	91	497	8	161	169	260	328
869	115	35	9	44	71	27	0	27	71	44
883	310	110	1	111	199	35	0	35	146	164
928	439	35	9	44	395	13	21	34	78	361
943	514	26	7	33	481	1	145	146	179	335
954	158	136	9	145	13	20	0	20	165	-7
961	520	69	72	141	379	15	154	169	310	210
963	231	76	12	88	143	2	49	51	139	92
968	247	20	4	24	223	3	112	115	139	108
995	448	39	8	47	401	8	63	71	118	330

FEDERAL CRIMINAL ALIEN HEARING PROGRAM SURVEY
IMMIGRATION COURT, ELOY, AUGUST 1997

-A- -B- -C-- A Number	-D- Inmate Reg #	-E- Arrived	-F- Release Date	-G- Charges Dated	-H- Charges Filed	-I- Initial MC	-J- Decision Date	# Bond Hear	Rep by Atty	Remarks:
92 128 005	47681-198	4/23/97	9/14/02	6/6/97	6/16/97	7/3/97	8/21/97			
76 258 010	03903-196	5/7/97	2/16/98	6/23/97	7/1/97	8/7/97	8/7/97			
76 258 030	57137-097	4/24/97	3/24/99	8/1/97	8/5/97	8/28/97	8/28/97			
38 812 049	57280-097	4/23/97	12/9/98	6/25/97	6/26/97	7/31/97	8/14/97			
31 337 077	29955-048	9/13/95	3/12/98	10/20/95	10/26/95	12/22/95	8/28/97		X	8 I/C
12 952 088	09618-424	1/17/97	11/19/97	6/28/95	3/25/97	3/27/97	8/7/97			
28 468 110	03687-424	1/17/97	4/28/01	2/24/97	3/3/97	3/6/97	8/28/97			1 I/C
92 373 127	98277-198	7/1/97	8/3/97	7/21/97	7/29/97	8/1/97	8/1/97			
91 944 128	70601-198	5/1/97	9/23/00	7/14/97	7/22/97	8/21/97	8/21/97			Terminated
91 069 131	21005-039	2/26/97	6/24/97	6/16/97	7/31/97	8/28/97	8/28/97			Reopened case
74 416 163	69185-198	7/1/97	9/30/97	7/31/97	8/6/97	8/28/97	8/28/97			
74 080 223	52002-004	5/1/97	1/29/00	4/21/97	7/17/97	8/14/97	8/14/97			
74 080 227	52012-004	4/4/97	2/1/00	7/14/97	7/18/97	8/21/97	8/21/97			
91 612 232	08287-112	5/7/97	9/8/97	8/7/97	8/12/97	8/21/97	8/21/97			
74 320 233	04054-196	5/7/97	9/5/97	7/8/97	7/17/97	8/14/97	8/14/97			
74 080 243	52014-004	3/13/97	11/20/00	6/11/97	6/16/97	6/26/97	8/28/97			
74 080 248	52016-004	4/4/97	11/1/01	5/27/97	6/6/97	7/17/97	8/21/97			
74 765 253	44936-004	3/13/97	4/22/01	5/8/97	6/2/97	6/5/97	8/28/97			
74 416 299	68281-198	4/23/97	8/4/97	7/23/97	7/29/97	8/1/97	8/1/97			
92 399 299	37959-008	3/31/97	4/11/99	5/28/97	6/11/97	7/17/97	8/21/97			
90 436 318	24286-034	12/16/96	3/4/99	6/23/97	6/30/97	8/7/97	8/21/97			
45 555 323	37862-008	4/24/97	11/15/97	7/22/97	7/29/97	8/1/97	8/1/97			
93 022 342	04004-094	2/11/97	9/19/97	7/21/97	7/25/97	8/28/97	8/28/97			
74 392 343	68017-198	4/11/97	5/9/98	7/16/97	7/25/97	8/21/97	8/21/97			
74 416 346	68322-198	3/21/97	8/14/97	7/22/97	7/29/97	8/1/97	8/1/97			
74 080 348	52054-004	4/4/97	2/14/00	6/5/97	6/17/97	7/3/97	8/21/97			
92 414 360	48465-198	4/23/97	10/16/98	7/29/97	8/4/97	8/28/97	8/28/97			
92 384 384	47266-198	7/1/97	4/23/98	8/1/97	8/6/97	8/28/97	8/28/97			
92 612 392	47460-198	2/26/97	8/3/99	5/1/97	5/13/97	5/15/97	8/14/97			
73 372 424	14927-047	3/13/97	10/20/00	7/14/97	7/17/97	8/14/97	8/14/97			
70 013 430	03317-112	1/17/97	12/20/00	3/10/97	3/25/97	3/27/97	8/7/97			
92 413 444	39401-198	5/8/97	4/15/98	7/2/97	7/15/97	8/21/97	8/21/97			
41 298 451	44993-004	3/11/97	5/29/00	6/25/97	7/14/97	8/7/97	8/7/97			
21 023 490	48800-198	5/8/97	8/5/97	7/10/97	7/17/97	8/14/97	8/14/97			

	-O- # Days Arr to Rel =F-E	-P- # Days Arr to Chrg Dt =G-E	-Q- # Days Chrg Dt to Fil =H-G	-R- # Days Arr to Fil =H-E	-S- # Days Fil to Rel =F-H	-T- # Days Fil to 1st MC =I-H	-U- # Days 1st MC to Dec =J-I	-V- # Days Fil to Dec =J-H	-W- # Days Arr to Dec =J-E	-X- # Days Dec to Rel =F-J
005	1970	44	10	54	1916	17	49	66	120	1850
010	285	47	8	55	230	37	0	37	92	193
030	699	99	4	103	596	23	0	23	126	573
049	595	63	1	64	531	35	14	49	113	482
077	911	37	6	43	868	57	615	672	715	196
088	306	-569	636	67	239	2	133	135	202	104
110	1562	38	7	45	1517	3	175	178	223	1339
127	33	20	8	28	5	3	0	3	31	2
128	1241	74	8	82	1159	30	0	30	112	1129
131	118	110	45	155	-37	28	0	28	183	-65
163	91	30	6	36	55	22	0	22	58	33
223	1003	-10	87	77	926	28	0	28	105	898
227	1033	101	4	105	928	34	0	34	139	894
232	124	92	5	97	27	9	0	9	106	18
233	121	62	9	71	50	28	0	28	99	22
243	1348	90	5	95	1253	10	63	73	168	1180
248	1672	53	10	63	1609	41	35	76	139	1533
253	1501	56	25	81	1420	3	84	87	168	1333
299	103	91	6	97	6	3	0	3	100	3
299	741	58	14	72	669	36	35	71	143	598
318	808	189	7	196	612	38	14	52	248	560
323	205	89	7	96	109	3	0	3	99	106
342	220	160	4	164	56	34	0	34	198	22
343	393	96	9	105	288	27	0	27	132	261
346	146	123	7	130	16	3	0	3	133	13
348	1046	62	12	74	972	16	49	65	139	907
360	541	97	6	103	438	24	0	24	127	414
384	296	31	5	36	260	22	0	22	58	238
392	888	64	12	76	812	2	91	93	169	719
424	1317	123	3	126	1191	28	0	28	154	1163
430	1433	52	15	67	1366	2	133	135	202	1231
444	342	55	13	68	274	37	0	37	105	237
451	1175	106	19	125	1050	24	0	24	149	1026
490	89	63	7	70	19	28	0	28	98	-9

FEDERAL CRIMINAL ALIEN HEARING PROGRAM SURVEY
IMMIGRATION COURT, ELOY, AUGUST 1997

-A- -B- -C- A Number	-D- Inmate Reg #	-E- Arrived	-F- Release Date	-G- Charges Dated	-H- Charges Filed	-I- Initial MC	-J- Decision Date	# Bond Hear	Rep by Atty	Remarks:
74 108 491	67839-198	2/26/97	1/16/99	3/26/97	3/31/97	4/3/97	8/14/97		X	1 I/C
41 105 509	04256-424	1/14/97	3/29/00	6/9/97	6/17/97	7/31/97	8/7/97			
76 206 520	00138-005	3/11/97	9/17/01	6/11/97	6/17/97	7/3/97	8/21/97			Terminated
92 516 549	06213-112	4/11/97	9/10/98	5/27/97	6/2/97	6/5/97	8/28/97			
91 714 560	09008-085	4/1/97	8/18/98	7/31/97	8/4/97	8/28/97	8/28/97			
90 779 587	06966-198	5/8/97	12/9/97	8/1/97	8/6/97	8/28/97	8/28/97			
44 823 597	18620-018	4/4/97	10/31/98	7/22/97	7/25/97	8/28/97	8/28/97			
17 867 634	01787-424	1/17/97	8/24/98	3/20/97	3/27/97	3/31/97	8/7/97			
42 274 673	49190-004	3/11/97	7/14/99	6/11/97	6/16/97	6/26/97	8/28/97			
36 524 678	03949-094	5/1/97	8/19/99	6/13/97	7/16/97	8/14/97	8/14/97			
71 529 683	30722-004	4/4/97	5/26/98	7/14/97	7/18/97	8/21/97	8/21/97			
92 845 694	67894-198	4/8/97	5/28/98	6/24/97	7/1/97	8/7/97	8/7/97			
74 337 703	01503-112	5/20/97	2/12/98	7/11/97	7/17/97	8/14/97	8/14/97			
74 319 727	38383-008	7/1/97	11/13/98	7/14/97	7/22/97	8/21/97	8/21/97			
74 924 733	18619-018	4/4/97	1/28/98	6/24/97	7/1/97	8/7/97	8/7/97			
14 037 750	30685-004	1/15/97	12/25/98	3/10/97	3/25/97	3/27/97	8/28/97			
37 118 752	08090-040	12/16/96	4/23/98	3/25/97	3/31/97	5/15/97	8/14/97			1 I/C
70 128 781	37818-008	5/1/97	3/25/00	5/28/97	6/6/97	7/17/97	8/21/97			
75 007 788	00128-005	9/1/96	8/11/97	7/28/97	7/30/97	8/1/97	8/7/97			
35 680 814	30467-004	1/17/97	3/28/00	2/5/97	2/13/97	2/20/97	8/21/97		X	1 I/C
08 780 848	07770-040	3/13/97	7/14/98	6/16/97	6/25/97	8/28/97	8/28/97			
91 537 911	46078-019	2/11/97	6/12/98	5/28/97	6/16/97	7/3/97	8/21/97			Terminated
36 912 918	70726-198	3/12/97	10/31/97	6/25/97	6/26/97	7/31/97	8/28/97			
90 331 919	08273-031	2/4/97	9/4/99	2/18/97	3/27/97	3/31/97	8/7/97			
92 450 928	04042-196	5/7/97	11/1/98	7/16/97	7/22/97	8/21/97	8/21/97			
92 208 929	30276-198	5/6/97	3/12/98	7/16/97	7/22/97	8/21/97	8/21/97			
76 257 976	26256-086	3/12/97	9/29/97	7/1/97	7/2/97	8/7/97	8/7/97			
76 257 981	61042-065	4/4/97	10/19/00	5/2/97	5/21/97	5/29/97	8/21/97			
76 257 985	51583-004	4/4/97	9/10/99	5/2/97	5/21/97	5/29/97	8/14/97			
76 257 986	85604-022	4/9/97	9/13/97	6/16/97	7/1/97	8/7/97	8/7/97			
76 257 987	68118-198	4/9/97	3/10/98	6/11/97	6/25/97	8/25/97	8/25/97			
93 236 990	48623-198	5/7/97	3/1/98	7/11/97	7/17/97	8/14/97	8/14/97			
76 257 994	48043-198	4/11/97	4/3/99	5/12/97	5/21/97	5/29/97	8/21/97			

	-O- # Days Arr to Rel =F-E	-P- # Days Arr to Chrg Dt =G-E	-Q- # Days Chrg Dt to Fil =H-G	-R- # Days Arr to Fil =H-E	-S- # Days Fil to Rel =F-H	-T- # Days Fil to 1st MC =I-H	-U- # Days 1st MC to Dec =J-I	-V- # Days Fil to Dec =J-H	-W- # Days Arr to Dec =J-E	-X- # Days Dec to Rel =F-J
491	689	28	5	33	656	3	133	136	169	520
509	1170	146	8	154	1016	44	7	51	205	965
520	1651	92	6	98	1553	16	49	65	163	1488
549	517	46	6	52	465	3	84	87	139	378
560	504	121	4	125	379	24	0	24	149	355
587	215	85	5	90	125	22	0	22	112	103
597	575	109	3	112	463	34	0	34	146	429
634	584	62	7	69	515	4	129	133	202	382
673	855	92	5	97	758	10	63	73	170	685
678	840	43	33	76	764	29	0	29	105	735
683	417	101	4	105	312	34	0	34	139	278
694	415	77	7	84	331	37	0	37	121	294
703	268	52	6	58	210	28	0	28	86	182
727	500	13	8	21	479	30	0	30	51	449
733	299	81	7	88	211	37	0	37	125	174
750	709	54	15	69	640	2	154	156	225	484
752	493	99	6	105	388	45	91	136	241	252
781	1059	27	9	36	1023	41	35	76	112	947
788	344	330	2	332	12	2	6	8	340	4
814	1166	19	8	27	1139	7	182	189	216	950
848	488	95	9	104	384	64	0	64	168	320
911	486	106	19	125	361	17	49	66	191	295
918	233	105	1	106	127	35	28	63	169	64
919	942	14	37	51	891	4	129	133	184	758
928	543	70	6	76	467	30	0	30	106	437
929	310	71	6	77	233	30	0	30	107	203
976	201	111	1	112	89	36	0	36	148	53
981	1294	28	19	47	1247	8	84	92	139	1155
985	889	28	19	47	842	8	77	85	132	757
986	157	68	15	83	74	37	0	37	120	37
987	335	63	14	77	258	61	0	61	138	197
990	298	65	6	71	227	28	0	28	99	199
994	722	31	9	40	682	8	84	92	132	590

-A- -B- -C- A Number	-D- Inmate Reg #	-E- Arrived	-F- Release Date	-G- Charges Dated	-H- Charges Filed	-I- Initial MC	-J- Decision Date	# Bond Hear	Rep by Atty	Remarks:
74 123 011	51502-004	7/3/97	11/29/99	9/9/97	9/18/97	9/25/97	9/25/97			
22 246 012	37246-053	1/17/97	3/10/00	3/11/97	3/25/97	3/27/97	9/18/97		X	1 I/C
76 258 014	52070-004	7/3/97	10/20/97	8/18/97	8/26/97	9/11/97	9/11/97			
76 258 015	52069-004	7/3/97	10/20/97	8/18/97	8/26/97	9/11/97	9/11/97			
73 234 019	20855-018	4/9/97	2/8/98	7/10/97	7/18/97	8/14/97	9/18/97			
74 123 021	51503-004	7/3/97	12/20/98	9/9/97	9/18/97	9/25/97	9/25/97			
76 258 032	12881-069	6/19/97	12/27/98	7/22/97	7/28/97	9/4/97	9/4/97			
76 258 047	12882-069	6/19/97	3/18/99	9/12/97	9/18/97	9/25/97	9/25/97			
92 391 048	60867-008	1/31/97	9/25/99	2/26/96	8/18/97	9/4/97	9/4/97			
92 091 073	57136-097	3/12/97	11/14/99	8/6/97	8/12/97	9/4/97	9/18/97			
43 500 092	51909-004	4/4/97	5/5/99	7/15/97	7/25/97	8/21/97	9/25/97			
11 790 097	92507-098	8/5/97	9/29/97	9/8/97	9/17/97	9/18/97	9/25/97			
41 386 115	43540-004	7/3/97	5/7/99	8/18/97	8/22/97	9/11/97	9/11/97			
71 766 129	03801-094	6/19/97	2/3/98	8/6/97	8/12/97	9/4/97	9/4/97			
71 766 131	03800-094	6/19/97	2/3/98	8/22/97	9/5/97	9/11/97	9/11/97			
91 853 151	47385-198	3/26/97	10/15/00	7/14/97	7/17/97	8/14/97	9/3/97			
17 196 161	27187-198	1/23/97	5/19/96	10/2/92	7/18/97	9/4/97	9/4/97		X	Remand, Transfer to EAZ
29 389 185	52040-004	7/3/97	6/16/99	8/8/97	8/12/97	9/4/97	9/4/97			
36 616 196	32045-198	5/7/97	6/18/98	3/19/92	9/3/97	9/3/97	9/18/97			COV fr SND, Terminated
26 003 202	19548-004	6/19/97	2/3/98	8/22/97	8/28/97	9/11/97	9/11/97			
26 036 221	12489-004	6/19/97	9/14/00	8/12/97	8/22/97	9/11/97	9/11/97			
27 442 227	05295-227	7/17/96	3/7/97	7/30/96	6/18/97	6/25/97	9/29/97			Recalendared, Transfer to EAZ
73 799 259	00112-005	6/19/97	9/5/98	8/6/97	8/12/97	9/4/97	9/4/97			
92 755 274	68339-198	7/25/97	4/11/98	8/18/97	8/22/97	9/11/97	9/11/97			
74 124 278	51701-004	5/6/97	10/10/99	9/9/97	9/18/97	9/25/97	9/25/97			
93 182 284	05880-081	3/11/97	4/2/01	7/15/97	7/22/97	8/21/97	9/25/97			
25 376 301	91537-011	7/21/97	12/5/01	8/12/97	8/20/97	9/4/97	9/4/97			
21 703 315	05907-112	2/12/97	9/12/00	6/16/97	6/17/97	7/3/97	9/18/97		X	1 I/C
92 496 324	01933-198	7/3/96	7/8/97	1/2/96	8/29/97	9/3/97	9/3/97		X	Terminated
92 835 340	67576-198	4/23/96	10/8/96	2/14/96	8/26/97	9/3/97	9/3/97		X	Remand, Transfer to EAZ
74 416 370	68338-198	7/14/97	11/4/97	8/26/97	9/5/97	9/11/97	9/11/97			
74 320 376	04184-196	7/1/97	3/6/98	8/22/97	9/5/97	9/11/97	9/11/97			
92 340 398	23492-086	10/8/94	9/24/97	3/21/95	4/29/97	5/8/97	9/17/97			1 I/C, Reopened, COV to DAL

	-O- \# Days Arr to Rel =F-E	-P- \# Days Arr to Chrg Dt =G-E	-Q- \# Days Chrg Dt to Fil =H-G	-R- \# Days Arr to Fil =H-E	-S- \# Days Fil to Rel =F-H	-T- \# Days Fil to 1st MC =I-H	-U- \# Days 1st MC to Dec =J-I	-V- \# Days Fil to Dec =J-H	-W- \# Days Arr to Dec =J-E	-X- \# Days Dec to Rel =F-J
011	879	68	9	77	802	7	0	7	84	795
012	1148	53	14	67	1081	2	175	177	244	904
014	109	46	8	54	55	16	0	16	70	39
015	109	46	8	54	55	16	0	16	70	39
019	305	92	8	100	205	27	35	62	162	143
021	535	68	9	77	458	7	0	7	84	451
032	556	33	6	39	517	38	0	38	77	479
047	637	85	6	91	546	7	0	7	98	539
048	967	-340	539	199	768	17	0	17	216	751
073	977	147	6	153	824	23	14	37	190	787
092	761	102	10	112	649	27	35	62	174	587
097	55	34	9	43	12	1	7	8	51	4
115	673	46	4	50	623	20	0	20	70	603
129	229	48	6	54	175	23	0	23	77	152
131	229	64	14	78	151	6	0	6	84	145
151	1299	110	3	113	1186	28	20	48	161	1138
161	-249	-1574	1750	176	-425	48	0	48	224	-473
185	713	36	4	40	673	23	0	23	63	650
196	407	-1875	1994	119	288	0	15	15	134	273
202	229	64	6	70	159	14	0	14	84	145
221	1183	54	10	64	1119	20	0	20	84	1099
227	233	13	323	336	-103	7	96	103	439	-206
259	443	48	6	54	389	23	0	23	77	366
274	260	24	4	28	232	20	0	20	48	212
278	887	126	9	135	752	7	0	7	142	745
284	1483	126	7	133	1350	30	35	65	198	1285
301	1598	22	8	30	1568	15	0	15	45	1553
315	1308	124	1	125	1183	16	77	93	218	1090
324	370	-183	605	422	-52	5	0	5	427	-57
340	168	-69	559	490	-322	8	0	8	498	-330
370	113	43	10	53	60	6	0	6	59	54
376	248	52	14	66	182	6	0	6	72	176
398	1082	164	770	934	148	9	132	141	1075	7

FEDERAL CRIMINAL ALIEN HEARING PROGRAM SURVEY
IMMIGRATION COURT, ELOY, SEPTEMBER 1997

-A- -B- -C- A Number	-D- Inmate Reg #	-E- Arrived	-F- Release Date	-G- Charges Dated	-H- Charges Filed	-I- Initial MC	-J- Decision Date	# Bond Hear	Rep by Atty	Remarks
73 232 411	44803-004	3/27/97	4/6/02	6/25/97	6/26/97	9/4/97	9/4/97			
70 727 449	38151-008	7/1/97	5/26/98	5/22/96	8/28/97	9/11/97	9/25/97		X	
43 825 468	38574-008	7/1/97	6/5/01	8/4/97	8/7/97	9/4/97	9/4/97			
25 147 470	91654-011	4/9/97	7/9/01	7/15/97	7/22/97	8/21/97	9/25/97		X	
37 538 493	61337-008	12/24/96	9/5/98	6/17/97	6/25/97	9/4/97	9/4/97			
76 206 520	00138-005	3/11/97	9/17/01	8/29/97	9/3/97	9/11/97	9/18/97			
90 259 553	46736-066	12/16/96	6/30/98	3/11/97	3/25/97	3/27/97	9/25/97			2 I/C
92 235 556	57232-097	7/28/97	12/2/02	8/13/97	8/20/97	9/4/97	9/4/97			
37 807 590	06053-112	3/27/97	11/21/00	7/16/97	7/22/97	8/21/97	9/25/97			
90 030 622	06071-112	5/7/97	3/1/00	8/20/97	8/28/97	9/11/97	9/11/97			
92 274 625	47698-198	8/14/96	11/1/96	5/26/96	9/22/97	9/29/97	9/29/97			Remand, Transfer to EAZ
74 416 640	68412-198	7/14/97	12/6/97	8/8/97	8/12/97	9/4/97	9/4/97			
90 543 664	67958-198	4/23/97	1/22/98	6/17/97	6/25/97	8/28/97	9/25/97			
31 057 666	89580-011	8/28/95	5/25/98	1/4/96	8/7/97	9/4/97	9/17/97			Remand, COV to ELP
26 676 722	13840-004	6/19/97	2/3/98	8/13/97	8/21/97	9/4/97	9/4/97			
91 866 770	47201-019	4/4/97	3/3/99	6/11/97	6/16/97	6/26/97	9/4/97			
90 089 785	36117-008	2/6/96	8/5/98	3/27/95	6/4/97	7/3/97	9/4/97		X	
76 270 819	68513-198	7/16/97	2/19/98	8/4/97	8/7/97	9/4/97	9/4/97			
70 959 849	03774-196	5/7/97	6/13/00	8/18/97	8/22/97	9/11/97	9/11/97			
91 420 900	51918-008	3/11/97	6/10/99	6/16/97	6/17/97	7/3/97	9/4/97			
22 799 962	49371-004	10/22/96	4/12/98	6/2/97	6/16/97	7/3/97	9/25/97			1 I/C
74 079 964	52091-004	7/3/97	7/2/99	9/9/97	9/18/97	9/25/97	9/25/97			
76 257 977	51745-004	3/13/97	3/23/98	6/3/97	6/11/97	7/17/97	9/4/97		X	
91 462 998	68304-198	7/25/97	10/10/97	8/18/97	8/22/97	9/11/97	9/11/97			

	-O- # Days Arr to Rel =F-E	-P- # Days Arr to Chrg Dt =G-E	-Q- # Days Chrg Dt to Fil =H-G	-R- # Days Arr to Fil =H-E	-S- # Days Fil to Rel =F-H	-T- # Days Fil to 1st MC =I-H	-U- # Days 1st MC to Dec =J-I	-V- # Days Fil to Dec =J-H	-W- # Days Arr to Dec =J-E	-X- # Days Dec to Rel =F-J
411	1836	90	1	91	1745	70	0	70	161	1675
449	329	-405	463	58	271	14	14	28	86	243
468	1435	34	3	37	1398	28	0	28	65	1370
470	1552	97	7	104	1448	30	35	65	169	1383
493	620	175	8	183	437	71	0	71	254	366
520	1651	171	5	176	1475	8	7	15	191	1460
553	561	85	14	99	462	2	182	184	283	278
556	1953	16	7	23	1930	15	0	15	38	1915
590	1335	111	6	117	1218	30	35	65	182	1153
622	1029	105	8	113	916	14	0	14	127	902
625	79	-80	484	404	-325	7	0	7	411	-332
640	145	25	4	29	116	23	0	23	52	93
664	274	55	8	63	211	64	28	92	155	119
666	1001	129	581	710	291	28	13	41	751	250
722	229	55	8	63	166	14	0	14	77	152
770	698	68	5	73	625	10	70	80	153	545
785	911	-316	800	484	427	29	63	92	576	335
819	218	19	3	22	196	28	0	28	50	168
849	1133	103	4	107	1026	20	0	20	127	1006
900	821	97	1	98	723	16	63	79	177	644
962	537	223	14	237	300	17	84	101	338	199
964	729	68	9	77	652	7	0	7	84	645
977	375	82	8	90	285	36	49	85	175	200
998	77	24	4	28	49	20	0	20	48	29

1

Works Cited

"Enhanced Federal Institutional Hearing Program." U. S. Department of Justice,
A Cooperative Effort of: Immigration and Naturalization Service,
Bureau of Prisons, and Executive Office for Immigration Review,
March 7, 1996, pp. 1-2.

Software Used

Microsoft Word for Windows 95, Version 7.0a, Copyright 1983-1996, Microsoft
Corporation.

Microsoft Excel for Windows 95, Version 7.0a, Copyright 1985-1996, Microsoft
Corporation.

Microsoft Works, Version 4.0 for Windows 95, Copyright 1987-1995, Microsoft
Corporation.

Harvard Graphics, Version 2.0, Copyright 1991-1993, Software Publishing
Corporation.